UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,619	12/16/2003	Kanako Matsunami	278542003800	2632
	7590 03/17/201 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
12531 HIGH B		CHIO, TAT CHI		
SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,619	MATSUNAMI, KANAKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TAT CHIO	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3 and 6 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the drawing(s) be the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/735,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/24/2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 6,266,481 B1) in view of Yuyama et al. (5,825,408), Nono (US 7,209,632 B2), and Yoshinobu et al. (5,761,372).

Consider claim 1, Lee et al. teach an apparatus comprising: a receiver for receiving waves of television broadcast (10 of Fig. 2), receiving state detector for detecting receiving state of the wave of television broadcast before recording (11 of Fig.

Art Unit: 2621

2 and col. 5, lines 41-44, the demodulator detects the state (first state: the signal contains no error and second state: the signal contains error) of the signal), a judger for judging whether the recording is permitted based on a detected result of the receiving state detector (13 of Fig. 2 and col. 5, lines 44-47), and a notifier for notifying the user that such a situation that the recording is not permitted occurs when it is judged that the recording is not permitted (col. 5, lines 51-57), and the notifier performs notification by showing on a display a message that the recording is not permitted (col. 5, lines 51-57). However, Lee et al. fail to explicitly teach that the apparatus is a portable television receiver and the receiving state of the wave is the reception level of the television broadcast wave, and the notifier performs notification by the production of sound or vibration.

Yuyama et al. disclose a portable telephone with function of receiving television (col. 16, lines 55-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the apparatus of Lee et al. with a portable telephone with function of receiving television disclosed by Yuyama et al. since this combination provides users with a more convenient way to watch TV.

Nono teaches the receiving state of the wave is the reception level of the television broadcast wave (col. 9, line 55-col. 10, line 59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a determining means as taught by Nono to determine whether the weather condition affects the receiving state of the broadcast to let the user know in advance the

Art Unit: 2621

possibility of degradation in receive of image quality due to bade weather during the broadcast time period of the program.

The combination of Lee, Yuyama, and Nono does not explicitly teach a memory storing programmed recording information, a detector for detecting that it is at a time point preceding a set start time of the programmed recording based on the programmed recording information stored in the memory, and a receiving state detection activator for activating the receiving state detector a predetermined amount of time preceding the set start time of the programmed recording.

Yoshinobu teaches a memory storing programmed recording information (col. 5, lines 31-32), a detector for detecting that it is at a time point preceding a set start time of the programmed recording based on the programmed recording information stored in the memory (col. 5, line 45 - col. 6, line 3), and a receiving state detection activator for activating the receiving state detector a predetermined amount of time preceding the set start time of the programmed recording (col. 5, line 65 – col. 6, line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a memory storing programmed recording information to insure the recording reservation information in case of electric power suspension.

Consider claim 3, Lee et al. teach a portable television receiver wherein the receiving state detector repeats the detection operation after being activated by the receiving state detection activator (the demodulator demodulates the signal whenever the signal comes in, col. 5, lines 41-44), the judging means repeats the judging operation (the conditional access circuit determines if the signal constitutes a program

that is authorized for recording by the television receiving apparatus whenever the signal comes in, col. 5, lines 44-47), and the notifying means repeats the notifying operation until it is judged that the recording is permitted or until the user cancels the programmed recording (the viewer is notified whenever the program is not authorized to be recorded until the view obtains the necessary authorization to record the program, col. 5, lines 51-60).

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 6,266,481 B1) in view of Yuyama et al. (5,825,408), Nono (US 7,209,632 B2), and Matsugami (US 2003/0099462 A1).

Consider claim 6, Lee, Yuyama, and Nono teaches all the limitations in claim 1 but do not explicitly teach the portable telephone, wherein the notifier performs notification by a production of sound or vibration.

Matsugami teaches a portable television receiver wherein the notifier performs notification by the production of sound ([0146]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce sound to notify the viewer since changing the way of giving notices signifies the degree of importance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAT CHIO whose telephone number is (571)272-9563. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM-5:00 PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,619

Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Q. Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. C. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621